

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

ALL VALLEY IMPOUND, et al.,	)	No. CIV 00-0669-PHX-ROS
Plaintiffs,	)	<b>ORDER</b>
vs.	)	
CITY OF PHOENIX, et al.,	)	
Defendants.	)	

---

On July 25, Plaintiffs, All Valley Impound, United Road Service Inc., and Professional Property Impound Inc., filed their third Motion for Temporary Restraining Order, (“TRO”). The motion largely replicates their first and second Motions for TRO. By minute order entered after a hearing on June 6, 2000 on the second Motion for TRO, the Court noted: “Counsel agreed to extend the [TRO] until the Court rules on the [request for] preliminary injunction” (“PI”). The Court further stated that it would rule by June 30, 2000, and that the TRO “will remain in place until that time.” However, due to the press of other emergency matters on the Court’s docket, the Court has not yet ruled on the PI Motion. Because the parties agreed that the restraining order would remain in place until the Court ruled on the PI request, the Court will deny the Motion for TRO as moot and the restraining order will remain in effect. The Court will issue a ruling on the request for PI no later than Friday, August 4, 2000.

Accordingly,

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IT IS ORDERED** denying Plaintiffs' third Motion for Temporary Restraining Order  
as moot.

DATED this 28<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2000.

---

Roslyn O. Silver  
United States District Judge

copies to all counsel of record