

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

IN RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability
Litigation,

No. MDL 15-02641-PHX DGC

**CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER
NO. 4**

**(Master Complaint, Master
Responsive Pleading, Use of Short
Form Complaint, and Waiver of
Service for Bard Defendants)**

The parties have submitted a Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand (previously docketed as Doc. 303-1) and a Master Responsive Pleading (previously docketed as Doc. 303-3). The Court has reviewed these proposed pleadings, finds them sufficient, and directs the Clerk to file them as separate documents in the Court’s docket.¹ The parties have also submitted a proposed Short Form Complaint, a copy of which is attached to this order. The Court also finds this proposed pleading to be sufficient.

IT IS ORDERED:

All allegations pled in the Master Complaint and all responses pled in the Master Responsive Pleading are deemed pled in any previously filed Complaint and Responsive Pleading in this MDL proceeding, except as expressly noted below. They are also deemed pled in any Short Form Complaint and Entry of Appearance filed after the entry of this

¹ The reference to “Federal Rule of Evidence 8” on the first page of the Master Complaint shall be deemed to be a reference to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8.

1 order, except that the Master Complaint applies only against the Defendant or Defendants
 2 identified in such future-filed Short Form Complaints.

3 The following cases will not be governed by the Master Complaint and Master
 4 Responsive Pleading, but will continue to be governed by the complaints (including any
 5 amended complaints) and answers filed in the various transferor courts prior to transfer:
 6

Plaintiff	Original Jurisdiction
1. Cason, Pamela	GA – N.D. Ga. 1:12-cv-1288
2. Coker, Jennifer	GA – N.D. Ga. 1:13-cv-515
3. Conn, Charles	TX – S.D. Tex. 4:14-cv-298
4. Ebert, Melissa	PA – E.D. Pa. 5:12-cv-1253
5. Fox, Susan	TX – N.D. Tex. 3:14-cv-133
6. Henley, Angela	WI – E.D. Wis. 2:14-cv-59
7. Keen, Harry	PA – E.D. Pa. 5:13-cv-5361
8. Milton, Gary	GA – M.D. Ga. 5:14-cv-351
9. Mintz, Jessica	NY – E.D.N.Y. 2:14-v-4942
10. Ocasio, Denise	FL – M.D. Fla. 8:13-cv-1962

Plaintiff	Original Jurisdiction
11. Rivera (McClarty), Vicki	MI – E.D. Mich. 4:14-cv-13627
12. Smith, Erin	TX – E.D. Tex. 1:13-cv-633
13. Tillman, Lessie	FL – M.D. Fla. 3:13-cv-222

On or after **December 28, 2015**, any plaintiff whose case would be subject to transfer to MDL 2641 may file his or her case directly in this Court by using the Short Form Complaint. If such a case is filed in this Court without the use of the Short Form Complaint, Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel shall promptly advise the filing party to file an amended complaint using the Short Form Complaint. If the filing party fails to do so, Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel shall promptly notify the Court.

Defendants are not required to file answers to Short Form or Amended Short Form Complaints. An Entry of Appearance shall constitute a denial of all allegations in the Short Form or Amended Short Form Complaints except as herein provided, and an assertion of all defenses included in the Master Responsive Pleading. By filing an Entry of Appearance in response to a Short Form Complaint, in lieu of an answer, Defendants do not waive any defenses, including jurisdictional and service defenses.

Defendants shall have 60 days from the entry of this order to file any motion for failure of the Master Complaint to state a claim upon which relief may be granted pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) and 12(h)(2), and Plaintiff's shall have 30 days to respond.

Civil actions in this MDL were transferred to this Court by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. Upon completion of the pretrial proceedings related to a civil action as determined by this Court, the case shall be transferred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) or § 1406(a) to the District Court identified in the Short Form Complaint, provided the


1 parties choose not to waive *Lexecon, Inc. v. Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach*, 523
2 U.S. 26 (1998). The fact that a case was filed directly in this District and MDL
3 proceeding shall not constitute a determination by this Court that jurisdiction or venue are
4 proper in this District, and shall not result in this Court being deemed the “transferor
5 court” for purposes of this MDL. In addition, filing a Short Form Complaint in this
6 District shall have no impact on the conflict of law rules to be applied to the case. Instead,
7 the law of the jurisdiction where the case is ultimately transferred will govern any conflict
8 of law. Prior to transfer, Defendants may object to the district specified in the Short Form
9 Complaint, based on venue or jurisdiction (including a lack of personal jurisdiction based
10 on *Daimler AG v. Bauman*, 134 S. Ct. 746 (2014)), and propose an alternative jurisdiction
11 for the Court’s consideration.

12 Subject to the conditions set forth in this order, Defendant C.R. Bard, Inc. and Bard
13 Peripheral Vascular, Inc. (collectively “Bard”) waive service of process in cases filed in
14 this Court using the Short Form Complaint and in which they are named as defendants
15 and one or more IVC filter products either manufactured or distributed by Bard is alleged
16 to be at issue. For such cases, Plaintiffs shall send a Short Form Complaint and a request
17 for waiver of service pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 to Richard B. North,
18 Jr. by email to richard.north@nelsonmullins.com; maria.turner@nelsonmullins.com; and
19 matthew.lerner@nelsonmullins.com. Counsel for Bard shall return the signed waiver
20 requests to the Court within the time permitted by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Plaintiffs submitting
21 a request for waiver shall not seek to hold Bard in default for failure to timely answer or
22 otherwise respond to a complaint in which service has been accomplished pursuant to the
23 terms of this order without first giving Bard written notice of the alleged default and ten
24 business days in which to cure any alleged default.

25 Prior to a Plaintiff’s attorney filing a Short Form Complaint in this Court, that
26 attorney must register for or already have a District of Arizona CM/ECF log-in name and
27 password. If the Plaintiff’s attorney does not already have a District of Arizona CM/ECF
28 log-in name and password, that attorney **must** file the Short Form Complaint in paper

1 form with the Clerk of Court and simultaneously file an Application of Attorney for
2 Admission to Practice Pro Hac Vice pursuant to LRCiv 83.1(b)(2) (including all necessary
3 attachments and filing fee).

4 Dated this 17th day of December, 2015.

5
6
7 

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

David G. Campbell
United States District Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

**IN RE BARD IVC FILTERS
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

No. MD-15-02641-PHX-DGC

**MASTER SHORT FORM COMPLAINT
FOR DAMAGES FOR INDIVIDUAL
CLAIMS**

Plaintiff(s) named below, for their Complaint against Defendants named below,
incorporate the Master Complaint for Damages in MDL 2641 by reference (Doc.____).

Plaintiff(s) further show the Court as follows:

1. Plaintiff/Deceased Party:

2. Spousal Plaintiff/Deceased Party's spouse or other party making loss of
consortium claim:

3. Other Plaintiff and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian,
conservator):

4. Plaintiff's/Deceased Party's state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence at
the time of implant:

GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.
2575 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-9225
(602) 530-8000

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

5. Plaintiff's/Deceased Party's state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence at the time of injury:

6. Plaintiff's current state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence:

7. District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct filing:

8. Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):

- C.R. Bard Inc.
- Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.

9. Basis of Jurisdiction:

- Diversity of Citizenship
- Other: _____

a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master Complaint:

10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is making a claim (Check applicable Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s)):

- Recovery[®] Vena Cava Filter
- G2[®] Vena Cava Filter

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

€ G2[®] Express (G2[®]X) Vena Cava Filter

€ Eclipse[®] Vena Cava Filter

€ Meridian[®] Vena Cava Filter

€ Denali[®] Vena Cava Filter

€ Other: _____

11. Date of Implantation as to each product:

12. Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):

Count I: Strict Products Liability – Manufacturing Defect

Count II: Strict Products Liability – Information Defect (Failure to Warn)

Count III: Strict Products Liability – Design Defect

Count IV: Negligence - Design

Count V: Negligence - Manufacture

Count VI: Negligence – Failure to Recall/Retrofit

Count VII: Negligence – Failure to Warn

Count VIII: Negligent Misrepresentation

Count IX: Negligence *Per Se*

Count X: Breach of Express Warranty

Count XI: Breach of Implied Warranty

Count XII: Fraudulent Misrepresentation

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

- Count XIII: Fraudulent Concealment
- Count XIV: Violations of Applicable _____ (insert state)
Law Prohibiting Consumer Fraud and Unfair and Deceptive Trade
Practices
- Count XV: Loss of Consortium
- Count XVI: Wrongful Death
- Count XVII: Survival
- Punitive Damages
- Other(s): _____ (please state the facts supporting
this Count in the space immediately below)
