1 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 IN RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability No. MD 15-02641 Litigation, 9 CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 17 10 (Stipulation and Order Concerning 11 Protective Order and Redactions of Material from Defendants' Expedited 12 **ESI Production**) 13 To expedite document production of ESI from Defendants, the parties, through 14 their respective counsel, have agreed to a primarily "no-eyes-on" document production as 15 to relevancy while still performing a privilege review for ESI Defendants will be 16 producing subsequent to this Order. That procedure requires certain changes to protection 17 and requirements in the protective order (Doc. 269) and Case Management Order No. 7 18 19 and corresponding Exhibit A (Doc. 401) for ESI produced pursuant to this process. To the 20 extent that any of the below provisions are inconsistent with either the protective order (Doc. 269) or Case Management Order No. 7 and corresponding Exhibit A (Doc. 401), the 21 below provisions shall control all documents produced pursuant to this Order. 22 23 THEREFORE, IT ORDERED as follows: 24 The parties have agreed on an ESI production process (the "Process"). All ESI produced by Bard pursuant to the Process will be subject to the following terms: 25 26 27

28

- 1. At the time of production, Bard will identify the documents or ESI as being produced pursuant to the Process and subject to the restrictions of this Case Management Order ("CMO").
- 2. Plaintiffs will maintain all documents and ESI produced pursuant to the Process as confidential and not use the documents or ESI for any purpose outside of their own review and analysis until they have complied with this CMO.
- 3. Until Plaintiffs identify the documents or ESI for use, access to the documents and ESI is limited to attorneys and staff at PLC firms and their consultants who execute the attached addendum and agree to be subject to the restrictions of this CMO.
- 4. If Plaintiffs intend to use a document or ESI identified by Defendants as produced pursuant to the Process for any purpose other than as set forth in paragraph 2 above, they shall notify Defendants in writing (or by email) of their intent to use the document or ESI, identifying the document or ESI by production Bates number(s). Once Plaintiffs have done so, the document or ESI shall be deemed conditionally designated as "Confidential" under the protective order (Doc. 269) and subject to the restrictions of that Order (including filing under seal). Such designation shall not negate the additional protections and procedures afforded by Paragraphs 6, 7, 9, and 10 of this CMO.
- 5. Defendants shall thereafter have 30 days to affirmatively designate the document or ESI as Confidential pursuant to the Protective Order (doc. 269) in which case it will be treated as Confidential under that Order as of the date of initial production. Such designation may be made by separate writing that identifies the document or ESI by production Bates number(s). Plaintiffs may challenge such confidentiality designations in accordance with the terms of the Protective Order (doc. 269).
- 6. Defendants shall have the right to identify any document, file, or other form of ESI produced pursuant to the Process as both being irrelevant to the matters in dispute in this MDL and containing trade secret or other confidential information and to "claw back" such ESI or documents from the production. After Plaintiffs identify a particular

6

7

16

12

19

22 23

25

27

28

26

24

document, file, or other ESI for intended use pursuant to Paragraph 4, Defendants shall have 30 days to seek claw back of the particular document pursuant to this paragraph; this latter requirement does not apply to documents, files, and other ESI produced pursuant to the Process that have not been identified for use by Plaintiffs pursuant to Paragraph 4, which may be clawed back at any time.

- 7. Defendants shall have the right to identify any such documents or ESI as subject to the requirements of CMO No. 7 (Doc. 401) and to require the redaction of the information set forth in that Order; in that event, Defendants shall provide Plaintiffs with a redacted version of the subject documents or ESI with the same production Bates number(s) and Plaintiffs shall destroy any unredacted copies or versions of the document that they possess.
- 8. Plaintiffs shall have the right to challenge any designation by Defendants under paragraphs 6 or 7 by submission of the ESI or document to the Court under seal and any filings that refer to the protected substance of the ESI or document must, likewise, be made under seal.
- 9. Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) protection for privileged information produced pursuant to the Process:
 - a. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), production or disclosure pursuant to the Process of the substance or content of documents, materials, or other information that is protected by the attorney-client privilege, workproduct protection, or any other privilege or protection shall not amount to waiver of the privilege and/or protection in this MDL, or in any other federal or state proceeding.
 - b. If Plaintiffs identify a document, material, or other information in the documents and ESI produced pursuant to the Process that reasonably appears to be protected by any privilege or other protection, they shall promptly notify Defendants in writing or email. If the Defendants determine that the document, material, or other information is privileged or

otherwise protected, it shall make such an assertion in writing within 30 days of receipt of notification. Once the privilege or protection is asserted, the parties shall follow the process discussed in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B). Failure to assert the privilege or protection within 30 days of receipt of notification shall amount to waiver of any privilege or protection only of the document, material, or other information identified in the notification, subject to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(a).

- c. For any document, material, or other information produced or disclosed during discovery, and not identified pursuant to section (b) of this Paragraph, Defendants shall assert any claim of privilege or protection in writing (including by email) within 30 days after Plaintiffs identify the material for use pursuant to Paragraph 4 of this CMO. Once the privilege or protection is asserted, the parties shall follow the process discussed in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B). Failure to assert the privilege or protection shall amount to waiver of the privilege or protection only of the document, material, or other information used, subject to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(a).
- d. Unless waived under sections (b) or (c), at any time, a party that produces any document, material, or other information that it believes to be protected by the attorney-client privilege, work-product protection, or any other privilege or protection may assert the privilege or protection in writing. Once the privilege or protection is asserted in writing, the parties shall follow the process discussed in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B).
- 10. To the extent that the documents or ESI produced pursuant to the Process contain any adverse event reporter names or information of a patient who is not a party to this litigation and which would otherwise be redacted in accordance with CMO No. 7, Plaintiffs and their counsel and agents shall not contact the patient or reporter of an

Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 3372 Filed 09/14/16 Page 5 of 6

adverse event unless and until the parties go through the processes outlined in Paragraphs 6 and 8 of this CMO with respect to the redaction of information and this Court determines the information is not subject to redaction.

Dated this 13th day of September, 2016.

David G. Campbell
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

EXHIBIT A

IN RE: BARD IVC FILTERS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

No. MD-15-02641-PHX-DGC

AGREEMENT TO MAINTAIN CONFIDENTIALITY

I,	(Name), ha	ve been given and have	read a copy of the
Case Management Or	der No, dated	, 2016 in the	case of MDL No.
2641, pending in the	United States District Cou	art District of Arizona.	I understand and
will strictly adhere to	the contents of said Ore	der. I understand that p	produced material
disclosed to me is su	abject to the Order of th	is Court and that I am	n prohibited from
copying, disclosing, o	or otherwise using such m	naterial except as provid	ded by said court
Order. I understand t	hat my unauthorized discl	osure of any information	n protected by the
Order or contact of a J	patient or reporter of an ad	lverse event in violation	of the Order may
constitute contempt of	court and I agree to be pe	ersonally subject to the j	jurisdiction of this
Court for the purpose	of enforcing my obligation	ons under this Agreeme	nt, the Order, and
any contempt proceed	ding that may be institute	ed for my violation of	the terms of this
Acknowledgment and	the Order. I also understa	and that my signature or	n this "Agreement
to Maintain Confiden	tiality", indicating my agr	reement to be bound by	the terms of the
Case Management Or	der, is required before I m	nay be allowed to receiv	ve and review any
produced document ar	nd materials that are produ	ced pursuant to the Proc	cess as set forth in
the Case Management	Order.		
Date:	Print S	ignature:	
	Signatu	ıre:	