1 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 No. MD-15-02641-PHX-DGC IN RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability Litigation, 9 AMENDED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 5 10 (Plaintiff and Defendant Profile 11 Forms) 12 The parties have agreed upon the use of an abbreviated Plaintiff Profile Form 13 ("PPF") (Exhibit 1) attached to this Order. Except as expressly noted herein, the PPF 14 shall be completed in each currently pending case, and in all cases that become part of this 15 MDL by virtue of being filed in, removed to, or transferred to this Court on or after the 16 date of this Order. 17 Each plaintiff in currently filed cases (except as noted herein) shall submit a 18 completed PPF to defendants within 60 days of the date of this Order. In cases that have 19 been filed in, removed to, or transferred to this MDL on or after the date of this Order, 20 each plaintiff shall submit a completed PPF to defendants within 60 days of filing the 21 complaint. 22 The completed PPF shall be delivered via email to: <u>filterppf</u>-23 pfs@nelsonmullins.com and to co-lead counsel via email at wespita@lopezmchugh.com 24 and karin.scheehle@gknet.com. 25 Each plaintiff is required to provide defendants with a PPF that is substantially 26

Each plaintiff is required to provide defendants with a PPF that is substantially complete in all respects, answering every question in the PPF, even if a plaintiff can answer the question in good faith only by indicating "not applicable" or "unknown." The

27

28

PPF shall be signed by the plaintiff under penalty of perjury. If a plaintiff is suing in a representative or derivative capacity, the PPF shall be completed by the person with the legal authority to represent the estate or the person under legal disability. Plaintiff spouses with a claim for loss of consortium shall also sign the PPF, attesting that the responses made to the loss of consortium questions in the PPF are true and correct to the best of his or her knowledge, information and belief, formed after due diligence and reasonable inquiry.

A completed PPF shall be considered interrogatory answers under Fed. R. Civ. P. 33 and responses to requests for production under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, and will be governed by the standards applicable to written discovery under Federal Rules 26 through 37. The interrogatories and requests for production in the PPF shall be answered without objection as to the question posed in the agreed upon PPF. This section does not prohibit a plaintiff from withholding or redacting information from medical or other records provided with the PPF based upon a recognized privilege. If information is withheld or redacted on the basis of privilege, plaintiff shall provide defendants with a privilege log that complies with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5) simultaneously with the submission of the PPF.

If a plaintiff does not submit a PPF within the time specified in this Order, defendants shall mail an overdue letter by e-mail and U.S. mail to Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel and the plaintiffs' individual representative counsel, stating that defendants may move to dismiss that plaintiff's case within 20 days of receipt of the letter. If no PPF is received within those 20 additional days, defendants may move immediately to dismiss that plaintiff's case. If defendants receive a PPF that is not substantially complete, defendants' counsel shall send a deficiency letter within 14 days of receipt of a PPF, as applicable by e-mail and U.S. mail to Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel and the plaintiffs' individual representative counsel, identifying the purported deficiencies. Plaintiff shall have 20 days from receipt of that letter to serve a PPF that is substantially complete in all

respects. This letter shall include sufficient detail for the parties to meet and confer regarding the alleged deficiencies.

Within 45 days of receipt of a substantially complete PPF for an individual plaintiff, the defendants shall provide the plaintiff with a completed Defendants' Profile Form (DPF) (Exhibit 2) attached to this order. A completed DPF shall be considered interrogatory answers under Fed. R. Civ. P. 33 and responses to requests for production under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, and will be governed by the standards applicable to written discovery under Federal Rules 26 through 37. The interrogatories and requests for production in the DPF shall be answered without objection as to the question posed in the agreed upon DPF. This section does not prohibit a defendant from withholding or redacting information from medical or other records provided with the DPF based upon a recognized privilege. If information is withheld or redacted on the basis of privilege, defendants shall provide plaintiff with a privilege log that complies with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5) simultaneously with the submission of the DPF.

If the plaintiff receives a DPF that is not substantially complete, plaintiff's counsel shall send a deficiency letter within 14 days of receipt of a DPF, as applicable by e-mail and U.S. mail to Defendants' Lead Counsel identifying the purported deficiencies. Defendants shall have 20 days from receipt of that letter to serve a DPF that is substantially complete in all respects. This letter shall include sufficient detail for the parties to meet and confer regarding the alleged deficiencies.

The procedures outlined in this Order shall not apply to the following cases:

	Plaintiff	Original Jurisdiction
1.	Cason, Pamela	GA – N.D. Ga.
		1:12-cv-1288
2.	Coker, Jennifer	GA – N.D. Ga.
		1:13-cv-515
3.	Conn, Charles	TX – S.D. Tex.
		4:14-cv-298

1			P
2		4.	Е
3			
4		5.	F
5		6.	Н
6			
7		7.	K
8		8.	N
9		0.	11
10		9.	N
11		10.	O
12		10.	O
13		11.	R
14		12.	S
15		12.	IJ.
16		13.	T
17			
18	The parties are cases.		
19			
20		Date	d tl
21		Date	u t.
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

26

27

28

	Plaintiff	Original Jurisdiction
4.	Ebert, Melissa	PA – E.D. Pa.
		5:12-cv-1253
5.	Fox, Susan	TX – N.D. Tex.
		3:14-cv-133
6.	Henley, Angela	WI – E.D. Wis.
		2:14-cv-59
7.	Keen, Harry	PA – E.D. Pa.
		5:13-cv-5361
8.	Milton, Gary	GA – M.D. Ga.
		5:14-cv-351
9.	Mintz, Jessica	NY – E.D.N.Y.
		2:14-v-4942
10.	Ocasio, Denise	FL – M.D. Fla.
		8:13-cv-1962
11.	Rivera (McClarty), Vicki	MI – E.D. Mich.
		4:14-cv-13627
12.	Smith, Erin	TX – E.D. Tex.
		1:13-cv-633
13.	Tillman, Lessie	FL – M.D. Fla.
		3:13-cv-222

The parties are relieved from preparing or exchanging profile forms in those particular cases.

Dated this 2nd day of March, 2016.

David G. Campbell United States District Judge

EXHIBIT 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

MDL No. 2641 In Re Bard IVC Filter Products Liability Litigation

In completing this <u>Plaintiff Profile Form</u>, you are under oath and must provide information that is true and correct to the best of your knowledge. The Plaintiff Profile Form shall be completed in accordance with the requirements set forth in the applicable Case Management Order.

1.0	CASE INFORMATION
	Date:
Docket No.:	
Plaintiff's attorney and Contact info	ormation:
2. PLA	AINTIFF INFORMATION
Name:	
Maiden Name:	
Address:	
Date of birth:	
Social Security No.:	
Occupation:	
Spouse:	
Is Spouse Making Claim for Loss of	Consortium? □Yes □ No
3. DI	EVICE INFORMATION
A. Filter Model (e.g., Recovery@	®, G2®, etc.):
B. Lot Number:	
C. Date of Bard IVC Filter imp	olant:
D. Attach medical evidence of p	roduct identification and operative report for filter
placement.	

E.	Please check all the reasons why you believe your Bard Filter was placed:
	☐ Filter Placed After Being Diagnosed with Deep Vein Thrombosis/Pulmonary Embolism
	☐ Filter Placed in Conjunction with or before Orthopedic Procedure
	☐ Filter Placed in Conjunction with Trauma Situation/Motor vehicle accident
	☐ Filter Placed in Conjunction with or before Bariatric Procedure
	☐ Other Reason(s) for implant (explain):
	□ Unknown
	☐ See medical records attached
F.	Provide the name and address of both the doctor who implanted the Bard Filter and the hospital or medical facility at which the filter was placed:
	Doctor:
	Hospital/MedicalFacility:
	4. FAILURE MODE ALLEGED
Ple	ease check all failure mode(s) that you allege apply to your Bard Filter:
	□ Fracture
	☐ Perforation of filter strut(s) into organs
	☐ Migration of entire filter to heart
	☐ Tilt with filter embedded in wall of the IVC
	☐ Device unable to be retrieved
	□ Bleeding
	☐ Other failure mode(s) If other, please describe
	5. REMOVAL INFORMATION
A.	Has your Bard Filter been removed?
	□Yes
	□No

	□ Unknown
В.	If your Bard <u>Filter</u> has been removed or a doctor has attempted to remove your Filter, please check <u>all</u> that apply regarding the removal or attempted removal procedure(s):
	□Removed percutaneously
	□ Removed via an open abdominal procedure
	□ Removed via an open chest procedure
	☐ Attempted but unsuccessful percutaneous removal procedure
	☐ Attempted but unsuccessful open abdominal procedure
	☐ Attempted but unsuccessful open chest procedure
	□ Unknown
	☐ See medical records attached
C.	Provide the name(s) and address(es) of both the doctor(s) who removed your Bard Filter (or attempted to remove it) and the hospital or medical facility where removal/attempted removal occurred:
	Filter Removal/Attempted Removal #1
	Doctor:
	Hospital/MedicalFacility:
	Filter Removal/Attempted Removal #2 Doctor:
	Hospital/MedicalFacility:
a Cas Nava	6. FRACTURED STRUTS
Α.	Do you claim that your Bard Filter <u>fractured?</u> ☐ Yes
	□ No
	If you answered YES, answer the below questions in this section.
	If you answered NO, skip the rest of Section 6 and go below to section 7 - "Outcome Attributed to Device."

В.	Are any fractured filter struts retained in your body? ☐ Yes			
	□ No			
	□ Unknown			
	If yes, identify the location(s) within your body of each retained filter strut.			
C.	Have any fractured filter struts been removed from your body?			
	□ Yes			
	□ No			
	□ Unknown			
D.	If any fractured filter <u>strut</u> has been removed (or a doctor has attempted to remove any strut), please check <u>all</u> that apply regarding the removal / attempted removal procedure(s):			
	□ Removed percutaneously			
	□ Removed via an open abdominal procedure			
	☐ Removed via an open chest procedure			
	☐ Attempted but unsuccessful percutaneous removal procedure			
	☐ Attempted but unsuccessful open abdominal procedure			
	☐ Attempted but unsuccessful open chest procedure			
	□ Other, Describe			
	□ Unknown			
E.	Provide the name and address of both the doctor who removed (or attempted to remove) the <u>filter strut(s)</u> and the hospital or medical facility at which it was removed (or attempted to be removed)			
	Filter Strut Removal/Attempted Removal #1 Doctor:			

	Hospital/MedicalFacility:		
	Filter Strut Removal/Attempted Rem		
	Doctor:		
	Hospital/MedicalFacility:		
	7. OUTCOME ATTI	RIBUTED TO DEVICE	
A.	Do you claim to be suffering from any bodily injuries, including psychological injuries that are above and beyond usual pain and suffering and mental anguish, related to the Filter?		
	□ Yes		
	. □ No		
	If your answer is "Yes," please list all sy	ymptoms and injuries you claim to have suffered:	
	Of the injuries/symptoms you listed above, which do you claim to be suffering from at the current time:		
		*** and all responses upon the receipt of additional	
inform	ation.		
	Date	Signature of Plaintiff	
	Date	Signature of Plaintiff – Spouse (signature only	
		necessary if Loss of Consortium is alleged)	

EXHIBIT 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	: BARD IVC FILTERS OUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL No. 2641
	DEFENDANT BARD	CASE PROFILE FORM
		st complete this Defendant Profile Form ("DPF") y the Court's Pretrial Order. In completing this
	I. CASE INFORMATION	
This d	efendant profile form pertains to the follo	wing case:
Case c	eaption:	
Civil A	Action No.:	
Court	in which action was originally filed:	
	II. CONTACTS WITH IMPLANT	ΓING AND REMOVING PHYSICIANS
		are provider who implanted, removed and/or ct to each of those healthcare providers, provide
A.	CONSULTATION AGREEMENT	
		providers, state whether Bard has consulting der relating to IVC filters that Bard has been able ent search.
В.		HER RELATED CONTACTS ory manager and district manager who had any ealthcare provider, set forth the following:

Identity and last known address and telephone number of Representative(s):

1.

	to the individual who Bard believes was the territory manager and district manger for territory where the filter was implanted at the time of implant, set forth the following:
2.	Identify the name of the territory manager and district manger, the dates of employment for each, and, if no longer employed by Bard, provide the last known address:
	Territory Manager:
	Name:
	Employment Dates:
	If former, last known address:
	District Manager:
	Name:
	Employment Dates:
	If former, last known address:
III.	MANUFACTURING INFORMATION
Ide	ntify the lot number(s) for the Bard filter implanted in Plaintiff:
	ntify the lot number for the Bard device used to remove or used to attempt to remove Bard Filter implanted into Plaintiff:
	ntify the location and date of manufacture for each lot set forth in response to A and B ve:
IV.	DOCUMENTS
Ple	ase produce the following:
1.	The Device History Record (DHR) for the Bard filter at issue, or, if already produced, provide the bates number for the DHR.

- 2. The Bard complaint file relating to plaintiff's claims, or, in the alternative if already produced, provide the bates number for the complaint.
- 3. The bates numbers for any documents previously produced that reference the implanting physician and/or the hospital or facility where the device as placed, that Bard is able to identify after a reasonable and diligent search.
- 4. Any consulting agreement relating to IVC filters that Bard has entered with the physician that implanted the filter.
- 5. With regard to the plaintiff, any Med Watch Adverse Event Reports in Bard's possession.

Attorney for C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.				
[Signature]				