

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

IN RE: Bard Implanted Port Catheter
Products Liability Litigation,

MDL No. 3081
CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 19
(Privilege Log Protocol)
(Applies to All Actions)

THIS MATTER, having come before the Court upon the joint submission by the Parties, **IT IS ORDERED:**

The following is the Protocol for the logging of documents withheld from production as protected by the attorney client privilege, joint defense privilege, work product doctrine or any other privilege. This Protocol shall govern all productions in the above-captioned matter. Nothing in this Protocol shall limit or waive a Party’s right to seek or object to discovery as set out in applicable rules, to rely on any protective order entered in this action concerning protection of confidential or otherwise sensitive information, or to object to the relevance, admissibility or authenticity of any document logged in accordance with this Protocol.

A. General Principles

1. Privilege logs shall comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5), which requires a party to:

- 1 a. Expressly identify the privilege asserted; and
- 2 b. Describe the nature of the documents, communications, or tangible
- 3 things not produced or disclosed . . . in a manner that, without
- 4 revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable other

5 **B. Privilege Log**

6 1. To the extent that documents are withheld from production on the basis of

7 privilege or the work-product doctrine, the Producing Party shall update a privilege log

8 within thirty (30) business days after the date of production from which the documents were

9 withheld. With respect to production of Custodial Files subject to a substantial completion

10 deadline, the Producing Party shall update the privilege log on the earlier of either: a) thirty

11 (30) business days after the date of production from which the documents were withheld;

12 or b) the date of the Custodial substantial completion date.¹

13 2. The rolling privilege logs from the Producing Party shall be produced either

14 (a) in a cumulative manner, incorporating on each subsequently produced privilege log the

15 previously produced privilege logs and identifying in a searchable/sortable manner

16 documents added since the last log update and documents for which a privilege is no longer

17 asserted, if any, since the last log update, or (b) in installments using a consistent format so

18 that the installments can be merged into a cumulative Excel spreadsheet by the Receiving

19 Party. The correspondence accompanying each privilege log shall indicate the document

20 production volume(s) and Bates range(s) to which the privilege log applies.

21 3. For documents withheld on the basis of privilege or work product, the

22 Producing Party shall provide a separate entry for each document as to which the Producing

23 Party asserts privilege. The log should include the following fields. Fields g – p shall be

24 provided from electronically-generated metadata associated with the document, to the

25

26 ¹ The substantial completion deadlines for Defendants' production of general

27 liability Custodians are July 1, 2024, August 15, 2024, and October 15, 2024. *See* Doc. 525

28 at 3-4 (CMO 18). Accordingly, Defendants shall provide an updated privilege log on or

before these dates to account for documents withheld from productions as privileged that

reside in the Custodial Files of the Custodians subject to the applicable substantial

completion deadline.

1 extent applicable and reasonably available. A sample log is attached as Exhibit A to this
2 Order:

- 3 a. **A field or column indicating the privilege log volume;**
- 4 b. **A field or column indicating the date the privilege was asserted;**
- 5 c. **A unique identifying number for each logged document *adseriatim***
6 **starting with the number 1. (Privilege Log ID);**
- 7 d. **Attached to Privilege Log ID (populated for attachments withheld**
8 **as privileged);**
- 9 e. **Bates Number of privileged Slip Sheet;**
- 10 f. **Family Bates Range (populated for partially produced families);**
- 11 g. **All Custodian (names of all Custodian(s)/Source(s) that possessed**
12 **the document if global deduplication is utilized);**
- 13 h. **Document Type (file extension or msg or similar indication of file**
14 **type for e-mail);**
- 15 i. **Sent Date (e-mail);**
- 16 j. **Date Last Modified (documents);**
- 17 k. **Author (documents)/From (e-mail);**
- 18 l. **To;**
- 19 m. **Cc;**
- 20 n. **Bcc;**
- 21 o. **Participants (e-mail threads) (identification of participants who**
22 **participated in lesser included messages as generated by**
23 **Relativity's Name Normalization analytics);**
- 24 p. **Filename (documents)/Subject Line (e-mail) so long as the**
25 **disclosure would not reveal information itself privileged or**
26 **protected; if the disclosure would reveal information itself**
27 **privileged or protected, then the field shall indicate "Privileged;"**
- 28 q. **Privilege Type indicating each type claimed (e.g., Attorney-Client**
29 **or Work-Product);**
- 30 r. **Legal Nexus: populated if the attorney(s)/legal personnel giving**
31 **rise to the privilege claim is/are not within the metadata of the**
32 **most recent email or document;**
- 33 s. **Description: a description of the nature of the legal advice**
34 **requested or provided or an explanation of the work-product**
35 **claim that, without revealing information itself privileged or**
36 **protected, will enable other parties to assess the claim;**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- t. **Released: field shall be populated if a document is released from the log; and**
- u. **Released Bates Number: populated with the bates number assigned to the document released from the log.**

Nothing in subparagraphs a-u, above, will relieve a party of reviewing the logged document(s) for privilege, and parties are not permitted to solely utilize metadata for privilege review.

4. **Identification of Legal Personnel.** Individuals (authors and recipients) who are attorneys, paralegals, or other legal staff carrying out a legal function for an attorney shall be identified (e.g., with an asterisk), and/or listed in the Legal Nexus field. The Producing Party shall provide a separate Excel spreadsheet appendix to the log that includes the names that appear in the metadata fields on the log along with corresponding email addresses to the extent such information is reasonably available and electronically generated from the metadata.

5. **Privilege Log Descriptions of Document Families.** Only privileged documents will be logged on the privilege log. For example, if a parent document is privileged and the attachments are not privileged, only the parent will be logged on the privilege log and withheld. The withheld document will be produced as a slip-sheet bearing a bates number that immediately precedes the bates numbers of the non-withheld attachments to keep the family context. The bates number of any documents withheld as privileged shall be identified in the “Bates Number of Slip Sheet” log field. To the extent a non-privileged attachment to a privileged communication can be produced without revealing the privileged communication, the non-privileged attachment shall be produced. The “Family Bates Range” field shall identify the Bates range of partially produced families. In instances where a limited portion of the parent Document is privileged, the parent will be redacted and produced along with responsive attachments. If an attachment is wholly privileged but the parent Document is not privileged, the attachment will be slip-sheeted and produced along with the parent and any other responsive attachments in the family.

1 6. Because paper Documents do not have the same contextual or familial
2 relationship as electronic data, the Parties will make privilege determinations for hard copy
3 Documents at the Document level.

4 7. **Documents to be excluded from Privilege Log.** Communications between
5 Defendants and their outside counsel of record after July 11, 2022 are presumptively
6 privileged and shall not be logged. Similarly, work product created by counsel of record
7 after July 11, 2022, shall not be logged. Communications between a Plaintiff and their
8 retained counsel are presumptively privileged as of the date of Plaintiff’s retention of
9 counsel for this litigation.

10 8. **Privilege Log Descriptions of Email Threads.** With respect to logging of
11 e-mail threads/chains, the Parties’ privilege logs will provide information gathered from the
12 metadata for the most recent email in the thread (rather than logging each correspondence
13 on the chain). As noted in section B.3., the Producing Party shall include on its privilege
14 log a field that lists participants who participated in lesser included messages in the thread
15 as generated by Relativity’s Name Normalization analytics. Attachments will be logged
16 and described separate from the parent e-mail.

17 9. In accordance with the ESI Order (Doc. 112), in lieu of a redaction log, the
18 Parties may produce a “Redaction Type” coding field in the .dat file that identifies the
19 redaction type for each redaction (*e.g.*: attorney-client, work product).

20 10. Any and all produced Documents are subject to the inadvertent production,
21 non-waiver and clawback provisions of the Protective Order.

22 **C. Challenges to Privilege Claims**

23 1. Should a Receiving Party dispute any entry on the Producing Party’s log – or
24 any redaction made under a claim of privilege pursuant to the ESI Order – (the “Challenging
25 Party”) the challenge shall be brought within 70 days of receipt of a privilege log or
26 document production containing the redacted document.² However, a party’s right to

27 ² Consistent with the ESI Order, redacted documents will be produced with a
28 metadata field indicating the presence of a redaction on the document image, as well as a
field indicating the reason(s) for redaction, *e.g.*, Attorney Client Privilege, Work Product,
etc.

1 challenge a claim of privilege is not waived providing good cause is shown why the
2 challenge could not reasonably have be made within the 70 day period. The Parties agree
3 to meet and confer in good faith to resolve disputes prior to submitting challenges to the
4 Court.

5 2. The Challenging Party shall provide the Producing Party written notification
6 of the challenge which shall include: a) the specific Privilege Log ID(s)/bates number(s)
7 being challenged, 2) the bases for the challenge, 3) request(s) for additional clarifying
8 information if any, and 4) offer to meet and confer during the fourteen (14) day period
9 following the date of the written challenge notification.

10 3. The Producing Party shall meet and confer with the Challenging Party within
11 the fourteen (14) day period following the date of receipt of the written challenge
12 notification. Within ten (10) days following the meet and confer, the Producing Party shall
13 provide the Challenging Party with a written response (providing further information
14 supporting its claims and/or indicating which privilege claims, redactions, etc., the
15 Producing Party maintains and which it withdraws, downgrades or modifies). These time
16 periods may be modified (including by extending the time periods) in emergent
17 circumstances, as agreed to by the Challenging and Producing Parties, or as ordered by the
18 Court.

19 4. For any challenges remaining following the procedure outlined in Paragraphs
20 C.1. – C.3., and before scheduling a call with the Court, the Parties should have identified
21 the scope of the issues as narrowly and accurately as possible in a grid that outlines the
22 Producing and Challenging Parties respective positions for each challenged document .

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

Privilege Log Volume	Date Privilege Logged	Privilege Log ID	Attached to Privilege Log ID (populated for attachments withheld as .xlsx)	Bates Number of Slip Sheet	Family Bates Range (populated for partially produced families)	All Custodians	Privilege Document Type	Date Sent (emails only)	Date Last Modified (documents only)	From/Author	To	CC	BCC	Participants	File/Name/Subject	Legal Nexus	Privilege Type	Description	Released	Revised Bates Number
1	X/N/2024	BARD_IPC_MDL_PRIVLOG_00000001		BARD_IPC_MDI_00XXXXX1	BARD_IPC_MDI_00XXXXX01 - BARD_IPC_MDI_00XXXXX09	Custodian A; Custodian B	msg	11/1/2018		Custodian B	Lawyer A Recipient A; Custodian B;	Recipient B		Custodian B; Lawyer A; Recipient A; Recipient B; Recipient C	RE: Draft Agreement	Lawyer A	Attorney Client	Email with attachment distributed among company employees and in-house counsel providing information necessary to provide legal advice regarding contract terms and negotiations.		
1	X/N/2024	BARD_IPC_MDL_PRIVLOG_00000002	BARD_IPC_MDI_PRIVLOG_00000001	BARD_IPC_MDI_00XXXXX2			doc	10/28/2018		Author X					Draft Master Services Agreement		Attorney Client	Draft contract distributed among company employees and in-house counsel providing information necessary to provide legal advice regarding contract terms and negotiations.		

Name	Email Address
Custodian A	Custodian A@bd.com
Custodian B	Custodian B@bd.com
Lawyer A	Lawyer A@bd.com
Recipient A	Recipient A@bd.com
Recipient B	RecipientB@bd.com
Recipient C	Recipient C@company.com
Author X	Author X@bd.com