			X FILED _LODGED RECEIVEDCOPY
1			OCTOBER 7, 2021
2			CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
3			DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
4			BY <u>s/ M. Everette</u> DEPUTY
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6 7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
8	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
9	In the matter of	I	
10	THE FILING OF DOCUMENTS BY		
11	CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS WHO ARE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL IN	GENERAL ORDER 21-19	
12	VIOLATION OF LRCiv 83.3(c)(2)		
13			
14			
15	Effective immediately, any document presented to the Court for filing by a criminal		
16	defendant who is represented by counsel shall be filed in the applicable case. The docket		
17	entry will be public, but the filed document will only be accessible to counsel of record for		
18	<ul> <li>the filing defendant. The assigned judge may, in her or his discretion, determine that the document should be made public or that further action should be taken.</li> <li>The Court is conscious of its obligation to maintain open records. The public has a "general right to inspect and copy judicial records and documents." Nixon v. Warner</li> </ul>		
19			
20			
21			
22	Comme'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 597 (1978). This Circuit has recognized, however, that the		
23	public's interest in access to nondispositive materials is somewhat less, and that access to		
24	such records may therefore be limited upon a showing of "good cause." See Pintos v. Pac.		
25	Creditors Ass'n, 605 F.3d 665, 678 (9th Cir. 2010) ("In light of the weaker public interest in nondispositive materials, we apply the 'good cause' standard when parties wish to keep		
26			
27	them under seal.").		
28	Because documents filed directly with the Court by represented defendants have no legal effect under the Court's local rules (see LRCrim 57.14, LRCiv 83.3(e)), they are		
	legal effect under the Court's local rules (see	S = LKCrim 5/.1	4, LKUIV $83.3(e)$ ), they are

nondispositive. The Court finds good cause for limiting access to these documents. Such pro se filings often contain attorney-client or other sensitive information that should not be available in the public docket, and yet the Court should maintain a record of all documents filed with it. Limiting access to these filings to defense counsel and allowing the assigned judge to determine whether the document should be filed publicly on the docket, will serve these interests while meeting the relevant standard for limiting access to court records. Dated this 7<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2021. Mussar Such G. Murray Snow Chief United States District Judge