ı					
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA				
8					
9					
10	Plaintiff(s), RULE 16 SCHEDULING ORDER				
11	V.				
12					
13	Defendant(s).				
14	Pursuant to the terms of the Joint Proposed Case Management Plan and the				
15					
16	comply with the deadlines established in this Order.				
17	IT IS ORDERED as follows:				
18	The Court will strictly enforce the deadlines set forth in this Rule 16 Scheduling				
19					
20					
21	The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (Fed. R. Civ. P.) in effect on the date				
22	Plaintiff(s) filed this lawsuit shall apply to all proceedings concerning this case, except to				
23					
24	Order control.				
25	1. All Initial Disclosures as defined in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a), if not already				
26	disclosed prior to the Scheduling Conference, shall be made no later than				
27					

2. To satisfy the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a), the parties shall file with the Clerk of the Court a Notice of Initial Disclosure, rather than copies of the actual disclosures.

3.	Motions to amend the Complaint and to join additional parties shall be filed
no later than	

4.	Fact discovery	shall be completed by
1.	I det discover	shall be completed by

5.	All parties shall disclose the ide	entity of all persons whom they may call at			
trial to prese	ent evidence under Rules 702, 703,	704, or 705 of the Federal Rules of Evidence			
(Fed. R. Ev	rid.) no later than	All parties shall			
disclose the identity of all persons providing rebuttal expert testimony no later					
	These disclo	sures shall be full and complete as required			
by Fed. R. C	Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(A)-(C).				

The disclosures of the identities of all persons whom a party may call at trial to present evidence under Fed. R. Evid. 702, 703, 704, or 705 shall also include all of the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) if the witness is either (1) retained or specifically employed to provide expert testimony in the case, or (2) is an agent or employee of the party offering the testimony whose duties regularly involve giving expert testimony. No deposition of any expert witness shall occur before the disclosures concerning expert witnesses mandated by this Order are made. Expert reports disclosed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) must set forth "the testimony the witness is expected to present during direct examination, together with the reasons therefor." Full and complete disclosures of such testimony are required on or before the dates set forth above; absent truly extraordinary circumstances, parties will not be permitted to supplement their expert reports after these dates.

6. Discovery by interrogatory shall be governed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 33 unless otherwise ordered by the Court. Therefore, there is a limit of twenty-five (25) interrogatories, including discrete subparts.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 7. With regard to responses to requests for admission, requests for production, and interrogatories, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not permit "general" or "global" objections. Accordingly, the Court will neither consider nor rule on objections that are not specific to the individual request propounded.
  - 8. Depositions shall be limited as provided by Fed. R. Civ. P. 30 and 31.
- 9. The parties shall not file written discovery motions without leave of the Court. Except during a deposition, if a discovery dispute arises and cannot be resolved despite sincere efforts to resolve the matter through personal consultation (in person or by telephone), the parties shall jointly file (1) a brief written summary of the dispute, not to exceed one page per side, with an explanation of the position taken by each side; and (2) a joint written certification that counsel or the parties have attempted to resolve the matter through personal consultation and sincere effort as required by Local Rule of Civil Procedure (LRCiv) 7.2(j) and have reached an impasse. If the opposing party has refused to personally consult, the party seeking relief shall describe the efforts made to obtain personal consultation. Upon review of the filed written summary of the dispute, the Court may set a telephonic conference, order written briefing, or decide the dispute without conference or briefing. Any briefing ordered by the Court shall also comply with LRCiv 7.2(j). If a discovery dispute arises in the course of a deposition and requires an immediate ruling of the Court—a circumstance that should be exceedingly rare—the parties shall jointly contact the Court telephonically. The Court will not entertain discovery disputes after the close of discovery absent truly extraordinary circumstances.

parties to conduct any final discovery necessitated by the Court's ruling on any discovery disputes. Thus, the Court will view with disfavor any "last minute" or "eleventh hour" discovery activity that leaves insufficient time to undertake additional discovery and requires an extension of the discovery deadline, and, in such an instance, the Court may deny a requested extension, exclude evidence, or impose other sanctions.

- 11. Although General Order 20-21, dated April 16, 2020, made May 1, 2020, the termination date of the Mandatory Initial Discovery Project ("MIDP") initiated in this District on May 1, 2017, by General Order 17-08, the Court will enforce one aspect of General order 17-08 in this case. With regard to the duty to supplement discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e), the parties must supplement initial disclosures as well as responses to other discovery requests within the 30-days-from-discovery-or-revelation deadline set by General Order 17-08 at 3-4 ¶ 8. (See General Order 17-08, as amended July 29, 2020.)
- 12. The parties must complete all pre-trial disclosures required under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3), of all exhibits to be used and all witnesses to be called at trial, on or before \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ so that the parties can complete meaningful discovery necessitated by those disclosures before the discovery deadline. This Order supersedes the "30 days before trial" disclosure deadline contained in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3). Therefore, (1) failure to timely supplement responses and disclosures made under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a), including witnesses and exhibits for trial; (2) failure to timely supplement responses to any valid discovery requests; and (3) attempts to include witnesses or exhibits in the Joint Proposed Final Pretrial Order that were not previously disclosed in a timely manner may result in the exclusion of such evidence at trial or the imposition of other sanctions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, the Local Rules of the District Court, and the inherent power of the Court.
  - 13. Good faith settlement discussions shall be held no later than \_\_\_\_\_\_

1	14. All dispositive motions, <b>including </b> <i>Daubert</i> <b> motions</b> , <sup>1</sup> shall be filed no later		
2	than A party or parties represented by the same		
3	lawyer shall file no more than one motion for summary judgment unless leave of Court		
4	is obtained.		
5	15. Any party filing a motion for summary judgment, motion for partial		
6	summary judgment, or response thereto, shall not file a statement of facts or controverting		
7	statement of facts exceeding 10 pages in length. LRCiv 56.1 is clear that parties' statements		
8	of fact or controverting fact "should include only those facts on which the party relies" in		
9	support of the motion or response.		
10	16. All parties are specifically admonished that pursuant to LRCiv 7.2(i),		
11	if a motion does not conform in all substantial respects with the		
12	requirements of this Local Rule, or if the unrepresented party or counsel does not serve and file the required answering		
13	memoranda, or if the unrepresented party or counsel fails to appear at the time and place assigned for oral argument, such non-compliance may be deemed a consent to the denial or		
14	granting of the motion and the Court may dispose of the motion summarily.		
15	summarny.		
16	17. If no dispositive motions are pending before the Court when the dispositive		
17	motion deadline has passed, Plaintiff(s) shall file and serve within ten (10) days of the		
18	dispositive motion deadline, a Notice of Readiness for a status conference. If a dispositive		
19	motion is filed, the Court will schedule a status conference as necessary upon resolution of		
20	the motion.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	1 Evidentiary motions made under Daubert v. Marrell Day Dhama Lac 500 H C		
28	<sup>1</sup> Evidentiary motions made under <i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.</i> , 509 U.S. 579 (1993).		