IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA No. CV Plaintiff(s), **ORDER REQUIRING RULE 26(f)** v. Defendant(s). Pursuant to Rule 16(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court will issue a scheduling order after receiving the parties' Rule 26(f) Joint Case Management Report. Additionally, the Court is scheduling a Case Management Conference. After reviewing the Rule 26(f) report, the Court may vacate the Case Management Conference if the parties or Court do not believe one is necessary. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED as follows:

The parties are directed to meet, confer, and develop a Rule 26(f) Joint Case Management Report, which must be filed within 30 days of this order. It is the responsibility of Plaintiff(s) to initiate the Rule 26(f) meeting and prepare the Joint Case Management Report. Defendant(s) shall promptly and cooperatively participate in the Rule 26(f) meeting and assist in preparation of the Joint Case Management Report.

The Joint Case Management Report shall contain the following information in separately-numbered paragraphs.

A discussion of any issues relating to claims of privilege or work product;

28

12.

- 13. A discussion of necessary discovery, which should take into account the December 1, 2015 amendments to Rule 26(b)(1) and should include:
 - a. The extent, nature, and location of discovery anticipated by the parties and why it is proportional to the needs of the case;
 - b. Suggested changes, if any, to the discovery limitations imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
 - c. The number of hours permitted for each deposition. The parties also should consider whether a total number of deposition hours should be set in the case, such as twenty total hours for plaintiffs and twenty total hours for defendants. Such overall time limits have the advantage of providing an incentive for each side to be as efficient as possible in each deposition, while also allowing parties to allocate time among witnesses depending on the importance and complexity of subjects to be covered with the witnesses;
- 14. Proposed deadlines for each of the following events. In proposing deadlines, the parties should keep in mind the Case Management Order will contain deadlines to govern this case and once the dates have been set the Court will vary them only upon a showing of good cause. A request by counsel for extension of discovery deadlines in any case that has been pending more than two years (calculated from the date the initial complaint is filed) must be accompanied by a certification stating the client is aware of and approves of the requested extension. The Court does not consider settlement talks or the scheduling of mediations to constitute good cause for an extension. The parties must propose the following:
 - a. A deadline for the completion of fact discovery, which will also be the deadline for pretrial disclosures pursuant to Rule 26(a)(3). This deadline is the date by which all fact discovery must be *completed*. Discovery requests must be served and depositions noticed

sufficiently in advance of this date to ensure reasonable completion by the deadline, including time to resolve discovery disputes. Absent extraordinary circumstances, the Court will not entertain discovery disputes after this deadline;

- b. Dates for full and complete expert disclosures and rebuttal expert disclosures, if any;
- c. A deadline for completion of all expert depositions;
- d. A date by which any Rule 35 physical or mental examination will be conducted if such an examination is required by any issues in the case;
- e. A deadline for filing dispositive motions;
- f. Case-specific deadlines and dates, such as the deadline to file a motion for class certification or a date on which the parties are available for a *Markman* (patent claim construction) hearing; and
- g. A date by which the parties shall have engaged in face-to-face good-faith settlement talks;
- 15. Whether a jury trial has been requested and whether the request for a jury trial is contested, setting forth the reasons if the request is contested;
- 16. Any other matters that will aid the Court and parties in resolving this case in a just, speedy, and inexpensive manner as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1; and
- 17. A statement indicating whether the parties would prefer that the Court hold a Case Management Conference before issuing a scheduling order—and, if so, an explanation of why the conference would be helpful.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within ten days, Plaintiff(s) must serve this order on any defendant that has not yet appeared or answered.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the parties shall file a proposed Case Management Order containing all the proposed dates at the same time they file the Rule 26(f) Case

Management Report. The proposed Case Management Order must also be emailed in Word format to Desai_chambers@azd.uscourts.gov. The Court's Case Management Order template is available at www.azd.uscourts.gov under: Judges' Information → Orders, Forms and Procedures → Desai, Sharad H.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED a Case Management Conference is set for Click or tap to enter a date. in Courtroom 502, Sandra Day O'Connor United States Courthouse, 401 W. Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85003. Counsel who will be responsible for trial of the lawsuit for each party, and any party not represented by counsel, shall appear and participate in the Case Management Conference and shall have authority to enter into stipulations regarding all matters that may be discussed. All attorneys and unrepresented parties based in Maricopa County shall appear in person. Any attorneys (including those based outside of Maricopa County) who cannot be present in person must seek leave of the Court to appear by Zoom at least 3 business days before the Case Management Conference. A continuance of the Case Management Conference will be granted only for good cause.

Dated this ____ day of _____, ____.