

**SUMMARY OF 2015-2016 PROPOSED LOCAL RULE AMENDMENTS
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Proposed Local Rule Amendment	Note/Explanation Regarding Proposed Amendment
LRCiv 3.5 <i>(Not adopted as a Local Rule amendment.)</i>	WRITS OF HABEAS CORPUS AND MOTIONS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2255. The proposed amendment would require an index of attached exhibits be hyperlinked and bookmarked to each exhibit in that attachment; the avoidance of breaking up exhibits into multiple documents where possible; and the labeling of each exhibit appropriately. This proposal was approved for inclusion in the court’s ECF Policies and Procedures Manual (Section II.N.I.c.) in lieu of any changes to the Local Rule.
LRCiv 3.6(b)	REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT. Amended to require the removing party to include the most recent version of the docket from the state court, if available. <i>Note: Assistance locating electronic docket information may be available on the Arizona Public Access Case Lookup (PALC) website located at:</i> https://apps.supremecourt.az.gov/publicaccess/ .
LRCiv 5.5(d) <i>(Not adopted as a Local Rule amendment.)</i>	ELECTRONIC FILING; Registered User Eligibility. Proposed amendment to allow the news media to receive automatic notifications of electronic filings (“NEFs”) at no charge. Additionally, a Rich Site Summary (RSS) feed for District of Arizona filings was requested. The amendment regarding NEFs was not adopted based on numerous administrative concerns and the U. S. Judicial Conference policy on fee exemption. The Court approved the implementation of an RSS feed without the need for a rule amendment.
LRCiv 77.1(c)	LOCATIONS; HOURS OF CLERK’S OFFICES. Amends rule to clarify that causes of action arising on the Maricopa County portion of the Tohono O’odham Indian Reservation will be tried in Phoenix, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. <i>(Note: This is an emergency technical amendment adopted by General Order 16-10 pursuant to LRCiv 83.9(c).)</i>

LRCiv 79.1	CUSTODY AND DISPOSITION OF NON-ELECTRONICALLY SUBMITTED EXHIBITS, ADMINISTRATIVE RECORDS, AND SEALED DOCUMENTS. Amendment eliminates subparts (d) through (f) and re-sequences subpart (g) as (d) for the reasons that these sections of the rule are obsolete based on the Court's current electronic filing environment, and they no longer comply with the Judiciary's Records Disposition Schedule.
LRCiv 83.3(b)	APPEARANCE BY ATTORNEY OR PARTY; NAME AND ADDRESS CHANGES; CONTROL OF CAUSE. Amendments to subparts (a) and (b) to make it clear that, with the exception of the change of counsel in the same firm or government office, the process relating to withdrawal or substitution requires a motion and order.
LRCrim 5.1	ASSIGNMENT OF CASES AND MATTERS; CRIMINAL; JUVENILE. Technical amendment to subpart (a)(1)(A), and adds a new subpart (a)(3) to address the assignment of cases alleging an escape in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 751 and/or § 4082. Technical amendment to subparts (d) and (e) to correctly reference LRCiv 3.7.
LRCrim 54.1(e)	COSTS: SECURITY FOR, TAXATION, PAYMENT. Amended to eliminate the final sentence of subparagraph (7) of subpart (e) for the reason that the Supreme Court has held that the cost of document translation may not be awarded under the federal taxation statute. <i>Taniguchi v Kan Pacific Saipan, Ltd.</i> , 132 S.Ct. 1997, 2007 (2012).